

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.urpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DAT	TE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/801,672	03/09/200	1	Hiroki Sugiyama	1035-310	1035-310 4119	
7	590 09/2	/25/2003				
	ANDERHYE I	EXAMINER				
8th Floor 1100 North Glebe Road Arlington, VA 22201-4714				VU, KIEU D		
Ariington, VA	22201-4/14			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
				2173	П	
				DATE MAILED: 09/25/2003	'	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,				2
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/801,672	SUGIYAMA ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Kieu D Vu	2173	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
THE I - External after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABANI	by be timely filed O) days will be considered timely. From the mailing date of this communic DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 h	<u> 1arch 2001</u>		
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.		
3)□	closed in accordance with the practice under			its is
· _	on of Claims			
•	Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are pending in the application			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav	vn from consideration.		
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
·	Claim(s) 1-31 is/are rejected.			
· <u> </u>	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers	election requirement.		
·· _	• The specification is objected to by the Examiner	·.		
·	Fhe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep		Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•		
11) 🔲 -	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b) disa	pproved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	ly to this Office action.		
12)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.		
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)⊠	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)[☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Appl	ication No	
* S	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Buree the attached detailed Office action for a list of the company of the control of the certified copies of the prior application.	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•	
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	·		cation).
a	The translation of the foreign language procedure.	visional application has beer	received.	
Attachment				
2) D Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>3</u>	5) Notice of Infor	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/801,672 Page 2

Art Unit: 2173

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The abstract is objected since it exceeds 150 words.

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

2. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Objections

3. Claim 31 is objected to for being in improper dependent form. The claims are written in the form of a preamble made to depend on another claim. The stated preamble is not given patentable weight as it fails to breathe life, meaning, and vitality into the claims. As such, the claims fail to further limit the subject matter of the claim(s) upon which they depend. See MPEP §§ 608.01(n) and 2111.02.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

4. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claim 30 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter since these claims 26 claim "A program" per se

Art Unit: 2173

and does not positively recite that the program is stored on a medium that can be read by a machine. As such, the claimed invention is not directed to a machine readable medium or a manufacturer article.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brown et al ("Brown", USP 6356908) and Carpenter et al ("Carpenter", USP 5754174).

Regarding claim 1, Brown teaches image information processing device, comprising display means (fig. 2); image information storage means (col 6, lines 23-27); display area providing means for providing a first display area (the left area of the window in Fig. 14) and a second display area (the right area of the window in Fig. 14) on the display means; display control means for causing first information (textual information in the left area) and second information of a plurality of sets of image information (thumbnails in the right area) stored in an image information storage region in the image information storage means to be displayed in the first and second display areas respectively (Fig. 14 and col 8, lines 60-64). Brown does not teach the change in the display order of the first and the second information. However, such feature is known in the art as taught by Carpenter. Carpenter teaches a system for individually configurable panel interfaces which comprises the change in order of the displays of

Art Unit: 2173

panel interfaces when the corresponding listing in the configuration menu changes (col 2, lines 4-10; Figures 9-10). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teaching of Brown and Carpenter before him at the time the invention was made, to modify the image information processing device taught by Brown to include the change in display order taught by Carpenter with the motivation being to present information and images in different display orders.

Regarding claims 2, 17, and 30-31, Brown teaches an image information processing device, comprising display means (fig. 2); image information storage means (col 6, lines 23-27); display control means for causing the display means to display sets of detailed information (the left area of window in Fig. 14) and identifier images (thumbnails in the right area of window in Fig. 14) (Fig. 14 and col 8, lines 60-64). Brown does not teach the change in the display order of the detail information and the identifier images. However, such feature is known in the art as taught by Carpenter. Carpenter teaches a system for individually configurable panel interfaces which comprises the change in order of the displays of panel interfaces when the corresponding listing in the configuration menu changes (col 2, lines 4-10; Figures 9-10). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teaching of Brown and Carpenter before him at the time the invention was made, to modify the image information processing device taught by Brown to include the change in display order taught by Carpenter with the motivation being to present information and images in different display orders.

Art Unit: 2173

Regarding claims 3 and 18, Carpenter teaches a common display order between the detailed information and the identifier images (Fig. 9) and the change in the display order (Fig. 10).

Regarding claims 4 and 19, Brown teaches that the detailed information includes a plurality of items about this information (URL address, page size, language).

Carpenter teaches the rearranging the display order of the sets of detailed information on the display screen of the display means (Figures 9-10).

Regarding claims 5 and 20, Brown teaches the extracting at least one of the identifier images according to an input from the input means and causing the display means to display the extracted identifier image (steps 625, 630, and 635 in Figure 6).

Regarding claims 6 and 21, Brown teaches means for enabling the detailed information displayed by the display control means to be selected by means of the input means (col 5, lines 8-9).

Regarding claims 7 and 22, Brown teaches search means for extracting at least one of the identifier images according to search conditions entered through the input means (col 5, lines 8-9, and Fig. 6).

Regarding claims 8 and 23, in Brown, it is inherent that, the extracting (selecting) a detailed information setting detailed information not extracted.

Regarding claims 9 and 24, Brown teaches that the detailed information extracted (Quick Launch 75) in the extract operation to be displayed in or near a first position in the row (see images of quick launch buttons 11 and 12 in dashboard 10 in Fig. 5).

Art Unit: 2173

Regarding claims 10 and 25, Brown teaches means for enabling the detailed information displayed by the display control means to be selected by means of the input means (col 5, lines 8-9).

Regarding claims 11 and 26, Brown teaches the including search means for extracting detailed information (col 8, lines 60-61).

Regarding claims 12,14, and 27, Brown teaches that the image information storage means has image information storage region (col 3, lines 12-13) and that causes the display in combination the sets of detailed information and identifier images (Figures 9-10 and 14).

Regarding claim 13, Brown teaches the displaying images in different colors (col 2, lines 60-63).

Regarding claims 15 and 28, Brown teaches that the detailed information (URL address, page size, language) to be displayed in a detailed information display area (left area) and the identifier images (thumbnails) to be displayed in an identifier image display area (right area, Fig. 14).

Regarding claims 16 and 29, Brown teaches that the identifier image is a scaled-down image of the image information (thumbnails of the pages).

7. The prior art made of record on form PTO-892 and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Applicant is required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.111(c) to consider these references fully when responding to this action. The documents cited therein teach about thumbnail images which relate to the claimed invention.

Art Unit: 2173

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kieu D. Vu whose telephone number is (703-605-1232). The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Thu from 7:00AM to 3:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Cabeca, can be reached on (703- 308-3116).

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are as follows:

(703)-746-7238 (After Final Communication)

or

(703)-746-7239 (Official Communications)

(703)-746-7240 (For Status Inquiries, draft communication)

and / or:

(703)-746-5639 (use this FAX #, only after approval by Examiner, for

"INFORMAL" or "DRAFT" communication. Examiners may request that a formal paper / amendment be faxed directly to them on occasions)

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703-305-3900).

Kieu D. Vu

Sept 10, 03

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER